

Criteria	1	2	3	4	5
Abstract (÷2)	• Abstract not well thought out or written	• Abstract gives little insight as to the contents of the report	• Abstract summarizes the contents of the report and experiment	<ul> <li>Abstract is a well written summary of the report and experiment</li> <li>Weakly intrigues the reader</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Abstract is excellent in its summary of the report and experiment as it includes all key points</li> <li>Strongly intrigues the reader</li> </ul>
Introduction & Hypothesis	• Predicted results and hypothesized relationship between variables not stated	• Predicted results and hypothesized relationship between variables are unclear	• Predicted results and hypothesized relationship between variables stated and appear reasonable	• Predicted results and hypothesized relationship between variables stated	• Predicted results and hypothesized relationship between variables clearly stated and reasonable
Materials (÷2)	• There is not a list of the necessary lab materials	• Most lab materials included	• All necessary lab materials included but not listed in any particular order	• All necessary lab materials included and listed	• All necessary lab materials included and listed in an organized manner
Procedure	• Procedures are not listed	• Procedures are included but not it is not clear and information is missing	<ul> <li>Procedures are included but not clearly written</li> <li>Procedures are not coherent</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Procedures are included and written in the correct order</li> <li>Procedures are written with excellent grammar that the reader can understand</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Procedures are written in the correct order with excellent grammar that the reader can understand</li> <li>Diagrams/images are included to describe the set-up (if no diagrams/images possible then clearly describe the apparatus)</li> </ul>
Data (×2)	• Data is not represented or is not accurate	<ul> <li>Data lacks precision</li> <li>Data loosely related to hypothesis</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Good representation of the data using tables and/or graphs</li> <li>Precision is acceptable</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Accurate representation of the data using tables and/or graphs</li> <li>Data is fairly precise</li> <li>Graphs and tables are referred to in the text</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Accurate representation of the data using tables and/or graphs</li> <li>Graphs and tables are labeled and titled for easy referral from the text</li> <li>Data is precise</li> <li>Graphs and tables are referred to in the text</li> </ul>
Analysis (×2)	<ul> <li>Trends/patterns are not analyzed</li> <li>Analysis is not relevant</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Trends/patterns are not analyzed</li> <li>Analysis is inconsistent</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Trends/patterns are logically analyzed for the most part</li> <li>Analysis is general</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Trends/patterns are logically analyzed</li> <li>Analysis is thoughtful</li> <li>Evidence of research</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Trends/patterns are logically analyzed</li> <li>Analysis is insightful</li> <li>Similar experiments are researched</li> </ul>
Error Analysis (×2)	• There is no discussion of experimental errors	<ul> <li>Some experimental errors are identified</li> <li>Video tracked twice</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Experimental errors and their effects are discussed</li> <li>Video tracked three times</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Experimental errors are determined</li> <li>Their effects are discussed</li> <li>SEM is calculated</li> <li>Video tracked four times</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Experimental errors are determined</li> <li>Their effect and ways to reduce errors are discussed</li> <li>SEM is calculated</li> <li>Video tracked four times</li> </ul>
Conclusion	• No conclusion was included or shows little effort and reflection on the experiment	• A statement of the results is incomplete with little reflection on the experiment	• A statement of the results of the experiment indicates whether results support the hypothesis	<ul> <li>Accurate statement of the results of the experiment indicates whether results support the hypothesis</li> <li>Possible sources of error identified</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Accurate statement of the results of experiment indicates whether results support hypothesis</li> <li>Possible sources of error and what was learned from the experiment are discussed</li> </ul>



Comments		
/50		
750		