1.4

# **Proving Conjectures: Deductive Reasoning**

GOAL

Prove mathematical statements using a logical argument.

# EXPLORE...

• How can the conjecture "All teens like music" be supported inductively? Can this conjecture be proved? Explain.

**SAMPLE ANSWER** 

# **LEARN ABOUT** the Math

Jon discovered a pattern when adding integers:

$$1 + 2 + 3 + 4 + 5 = 15$$

$$(-15) + (-14) + (-13) + (-12) + (-11) = -65$$

$$(-3) + (-2) + (-1) + 0 + 1 = -5$$

He claims that whenever you add five <u>consecutive</u> integers, the sum is always 5 times the <u>median</u> of the numbers.

? How can you prove that Jon's conjecture is true for all integers?

### EXAMPLE 1 Connecting conjectures with reasoning

Prove that Jon's conjecture is true for all integers.

#### Pat's Solution



The median is the middle number in a set of integers when the integers are arranged in consecutive order. I observed that Jon's conjecture was true in each of his examples.

$$210 + 211 + 212 + 213 + 214 = 1060$$
  
 $5(212) = 1060$ 

I tried a sample with greater integers, and the conjecture still worked.

Let x represent any integer. (nedian) Let S represent the sum of five consecutive integers. S = (x - 2) + (x - 1) + x + (x + 1) + (x + 2)

I decided to start my **proof** by representing the sum of five consecutive integers. I chose x as the median and then wrote a **generalization** for the sum.

# S= X-2+X-1+X+X+1+X+2 group like terms

#### proof

# generalization

A mathematical argument showing that a statement is valid in all cases, or that no counterexample exists.

A principle, statement, or idea that has general application.

$$S = (x + x + x + x + x + x) + (-2 + (-1) + 0 + 1 + 2)$$
  

$$S = 5x + 0$$

I simplified by gathering like terms.

S = 5x Jon's conjecture is true for all integers.

Since x represents the median of five consecutive integers, 5x will always represent the sum.


# Reflecting

- A. What type of reasoning did Jon use to make his conjecture?
- **B.** Pat used **deductive reasoning** to prove Jon's conjecture. How does this differ from the type of reasoning that Jon used?

#### deductive reasoning

Drawing a specific conclusion through logical reasoning by starting with general assumptions that are known to be valid.

#### **Answers**



# APPLY the Math


# EXAMPLE 2 Using deductive reasoning to generalize a conjecture

In Lesson 1.3, page 19, Luke found more support for Steffan's conjecture from Lesson 1.1, page 9—that the difference between consecutive perfect squares is always an odd number.

Determine the general case to prove Steffan's conjecture.

#### **Gord's Solution**

The difference between consecutive perfect squares is always an odd number.



1 unit

$$26^2 - 25^2 = 2(25) + 1$$
  
 $26^2 - 25^2 = 51$ 

Let *x* be any natural number. Let *D* be the difference between

consecutive perfect squares.

$$D = (x + 1)^{2}$$

$$D = (x + 1)(x + 1) - x^{3}$$

$$D = x^{2} + x + x + 1 - x^{2}$$

$$D = x^2 + 2x + 1 - x^2$$

$$D = 2x + 1$$

Steffan's conjecture, that the difference of consecutive perfect squares is always an odd number, has been proved for all natural numbers. Steffan's conjecture has worked for consecutive perfect squares with sides of 1 to 7 units.

= 16 - 9

> Inductive

I tried a sample using even greater squares:  $26^2$  and  $25^2$ .

The difference is the two sets of 25 unit tiles, plus a single unit tile.

Since the conjecture has been supported with specific examples, I decided to express the conjecture as a general statement. I chose x to be the length of the smaller square's sides. The larger square's sides would then be x + 1.

I expanded and simplified my expression. Since x represents any natural number, 2x is an even number, and 2x + 1 is an odd number.

# EXAMPLE 2 Using deductive reasoning to generalize a conjecture

In Lesson 1.3, page 19, Luke found more support for Steffan's conjecture from Lesson 1.1, page 9—that the difference between consecutive perfect squares is always an odd number.

Determine the general case to prove Steffan's conjecture.

#### Your Turn

In Lesson 1.3, Luke visualized the generalization but did not develop the reasoning to support it. How did the visualization explained by Luke help Gord develop the general statement? Explain.




_					
Λ	n	0	14	In	-
$\boldsymbol{-}$	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		v		•

# EXAMPLE 3 Using deductive reasoning to make a valid conclusion

All dogs are mammals. All mammals are vertebrates. Shaggy is a dog. What can be deduced about Shaggy?



#### Oscar's Solution



#### EXAMPLE 3 Using deductive reasoning to make a valid conclusion

All dogs are mammals. All mammals are vertebrates. Shaggy is a dog. What can be deduced about Shaggy?



#### **Your Turn**

Weight-lifting builds muscle. Muscle makes you strong. Strength improves balance. Inez lifts weights. What can be deduced about Inez?




#### **Answer**

I can deduce that Inez is building muscle. The other connections from the given statements lead from weight-lifting, but I cannot deduce that Inez is either strong or has improved balance. The act of building muscle does not mean that you have currently gained the muscle needed for strength and improved balance.

# Using deductive reasoning to prove a geometric conjecture

Prove that when two straight lines intersect, the vertically opposite angles are equal.






# Jose's Solution: Reasoning in a two-column proof

<del>_</del>			
Statement	Justification	, ,	
$\angle AEC + \angle AED = 180^{\circ}$	Supplementary angles (Add	to 1	80 <i>)</i>
$\angle AEC = 180^{\circ} - \angle AED$			
$\angle BED + \angle AED = 180^{\circ}$	Subtraction property Supplementary angles (A)	(80')4	transitive property f two quantities are equal to
$\angle BED = 180^{\circ} - \angle AED$	Subtraction property		the same quantities are equal to
$\angle AEC = \angle BED$	Transitive property	ā	are equal to each other.
		ı	f a = b and $b = c$ , then $a = c$ .

#### EXAMPLE 4

Using deductive reasoning to prove a geometric conjecture

Prove that when two straight lines intersect, the vertically opposite angles are equal.




#### **Your Turn**

Use a **two-column proof** to prove that  $\angle AED$  and  $\angle CEB$  are equal.

#### two-column proof

A presentation of a logical argument involving deductive reasoning in which the statements of the argument are written in one column and the justifications for the statements are written in the other column.

#### **Answer**



#### In Summary

#### Key Idea

 Deductive reasoning involves starting with general assumptions that are known to be true and, through logical reasoning, arriving at a specific conclusion.

#### **Need to Know**

- A conjecture has been proved only when it has been shown to be true for every possible case or example. This is accomplished by creating a proof that involves general cases.
- When you apply the principles of deductive reasoning correctly, you can be sure that the conclusion you draw is valid.
- The transitive property is often useful in deductive reasoning. It can be stated as follows: Things that are equal to the same thing are equal to each other. If a = b and b = c, then a = c.
- A demonstration using an example is not a proof.

Assignment: pages 31-33

Questions: 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 10, 16, 17

<del>}</del>	SOLUTIONS => 1.4 Proving Conjectures: Deductive Reasoning
2.	Jim is a barber. Everyone whose hair is cut by Jim gets a good haircut. Austin's hair was cut by Jim. What can you deduce about Austin?
,	SOLUTION
·	You can deduce that Austin got a good haircut.
4.	Prove that the sum of two even integers is always even.
_	SOLUTION
	Let 2x and 2y represent any two even numbers.
5	-2x + 2y = 2(x+y)
	Since 2 is a factor of the sum, the sum is therefore even.

5. Prove that the product of an even integer and an odd integer is always even.


Solution

Let 2x+1 represent an odd number Let 2y represent an even number

2y(2x+1) = 4xy+2y

=> 2(2xy+y)

Since 2 is a factor of the product, the product is even.



7. Drew c trick:	reate	d this step-by-step number
· Choose	any ni	umber
· Add 10		
· Subtrac-	۲5	
· Divide b	y 2	
	1 - 1-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
3 more	than	ively that the result is always the chosen number.
SOLUTIO	N	
n	n	Chosen Number
×4	4n	
+10 4	n+10	,1
÷2 !	2n+5	
-5	2n	
÷2	η	
4 000	7+3	←3 more than the chosen number

```
10. Prove that whenever you square an odd integer, the result is odd.

SOLUTION

Let Dx+1 represent any odd integer.

(2x+1)^2 = (2x+1)(2x+1)

= 4x^2+2x+2x+1

= 4x^2+4x+1

The numbers 4x^2 and 4x are even. The addition of 1 makes the result odd.
```

16. Look for a pattern whis squared and then Make a conjecture, conjecture.	divided by 4.
Evidence	Gnjecture
$\frac{7^{2}}{4} = \frac{17^{2}}{4} = \frac{21^{2}}{4}$ $= 49 = 289 = 441$ $= 12.25 = 72.25 = 110.25$ Proof	decimal ending with 0.25.
$\frac{(2x+1)^2 = (2x+1)(2x+1)}{4}$	<u>I</u> )
$=4x^2+2x+2$	<u>x +  </u>
$= \frac{4}{1} \times \frac{2}{1} + 4 \times \frac{4}{1} + 4 \times \frac{4}{1} = \frac{4}{1} \times \frac{2}{1} + \frac{4}{1} \times \frac{4}{1} + \frac{4}{1} \times \frac{4}{1} \times \frac{4}{1} = \frac{4}{1} \times \frac{4}$	
$= X^2 + X + O$	25

17. Simon made the following conjecture:
When you add three consecutive numbers,
your answer is always a multiple of 3.
Joan, Garnet, and Jamie took turns
presenting their work to prove Simon's
conjecture. Which student had the
Strongest proof? Explain

	Joan's Work		Garnet's Work	Jamie's Work	
	1 + 2 + 3 = 6	3 · 2 = 6	3 + 4 + 5	Let the numbers be $n, n + 1$ ,	
+	2 + 3 + 4 = 9	$3 \cdot 3 = 9$		and n + 2.	
-	3 + 4 + 5 = 12	$3 \cdot 4 = 12$	The two outside numbers	n + n + 1 + n + 2 = 3n + 3	-
-	4 + 5 + 6 = 15	3 · 5 = 15	(3 and 5) add to give twice the middle number (4). All three	n + n + 1 + n + 2 = 3(n + 1)	-
-	5 + 6 + 7 = 18	3 · 6 = 18	numbers add to give 3 times the middle number.		-
-1	and so on				
	Simon's conjecture is valid.		Simon's conjecture is valid.	Simon's conjecture is valid.	

#### SOLUTION

Joan and Garnet both used inductive reasoning to provide more evidence for the conjecture, but their solutions are not mathematical proofs.

Jamie had the strongest proof since he used deductive reasoning to develop a generalization that proves Simon's conjecture.

PM11-1s4.gsp

1s4e2 finalt.mp4

1s4e3 finalt.mp4

1s4e4 finalt.mp4

1s4e5 finalt.mp4